

**DEVIZES:**  
**PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS**  
**COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT**

### Contents

Green Lane – Byron Road .....	2
<b>Green Lane - Kempsfield</b> .....	4
Marshall Road.....	5
<b>Queens Road (East)</b> .....	6
Queens Road (West) .....	6
Waiblingen Way – Belle Vue Road.....	7
<b>Other proposals</b> .....	9

**18 Comments received from 18 people (11 objecting, 4 supporting and 3 commenting on)**

<b>Breakdown by road</b>			
<b>Road</b>	<b>Objections</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Green Lane – Byron Road	3	1	
Green Lane - Kempsfield	1	1	1
Marshall Road	0	0	2
Queens Road East	0	1	0
Queens Road West	1	0	0
Waiblingen Way – Belle Vue Road	6	1	0

## Green Lane – Byron Road

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
GL1	<p><b>Concerns regarding barrier</b> If you proceed with these parking restrictions, you will only encourage more motorcycles to illegally use the insufficient barrier separating the Marshall Road end of Green Lane and the rest of Green Lane. This is currently used as a “Rat Run” by motorcyclists and moped users. Can you not improve the barrier / pillars that would prohibit this illegal and, dangerous, use of the road? This barrier is 20 metres from a children’s playground. It would improve the safety of the road and also eliminate the anti-social behaviour of teenage moped drivers.</p>	1	Email has been sent advising customer to raise concerns with Devizes Town Council with a view to a solution regarding the barrier being carried forward via CATG.
GL2	<p><b>Displacement of vehicles</b> Concerned that cars may park in Newman Road causing congestion and safety for the 1A Stagecoach bus which runs every 30 minutes along Newman Road. Pleased that the NHS employees' cars will not be able park in Marshall Road near the pinch point.</p>	1	It is recognised that proposed waiting restrictions would result in vehicles parking elsewhere; this is the same with any new waiting restriction.
GL3	<p><b>Support but proposals don’t go far enough</b> However we do believe that the proposed changes in Green Lane do not go far enough. The reason for this is because the existing traffic that parks in these areas will be forced to park further down Green Lane and onto the Byway that leads to the carpark for the sportsfield. This lane is only a single carriageway in width and will result in cars parking on the</p>	1	<p>Comment of support is noted.</p> <p>The byway is not maintainable highway and therefore we are unable to place any restrictions.</p>

<p>GL4</p>	<p>verge and preventing emergency vehicle access and that of large farm vehicles which use this lane on a regular basis.</p> <p><b><u>No space for residents parking</u></b> Does this mean no residents can park on the street? If so, why are you doing this. This area was built with not enough spaces and narrow roads. I cannot have visitors who drive a car already because whoever they have squeezed more houses than space allows, with little or no space for general everyday living.</p> <p>I am a resident who has an elderly mother who has difficulties walking. If there are no nearby parking options available, where is she going to be able to park when she visits me?</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>The proposal was drawn up after a request was submitted via Devizes Town Council. A site meeting with two town councillors took place and it was agreed that the limited visibility experienced due to vehicles parked in Green Lane is a safety issue.</p> <p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway. It is the responsibility of the owner/keeper of the vehicle to find somewhere safe to park the vehicle and it is something for which the Council cannot take responsibility.</p> <p><b><u>In considering the comments raised concerning the proposals, it is recommended that these proposals be reduced, this can be found in Appendix 3.</u></b></p>
------------	---	----------	--

## Green Lane - Kempfields

			Officer Comment
GL5	<p><b><u>Restrictions don't go far enough</u></b></p> <p>The extension of the current No Waiting at any time zone will simply suffice to move the parking further north up Green Lane. This has potential safety issues in that Green Lane is quite narrow at this point and only has a pavement on the West side of the road.</p> <p>With reference to the proposed changes in parking, I would like to see double yellows extended past the proposed limits to the corner of Green Lane and Mill Close as cars now block and will do so even more the stretch of road between Kempfields and Mill Close, resulting in no way through for emergency vehicles, delivery vehicles, dust carts etc.</p>	2	<p>At this stage of the legal process, we cannot increase the extent without re-advertising the proposals, at further expense, and would further delay the implementation of the restrictions for the rest of the Devises proposals and whilst this may seem a request for a small addition, it will require an amendment to the whole Order.</p>
GL6	<p>As a Kempfields resident I am writing to support the proposed parking restriction for Green Lane/Kempfields junction. Currently both sides of this part of Green Lane, including the grass verge, and the junction of Kempfields, are very often obstructed with vans and cars making it almost impossible for Emergency service and Waste collection vehicles to access, and often residents of Kempfields find it very difficult to safely enter and exit Kempfields from Green Lane.</p> <p>We feel this initiative should extend further along Green Lane to the corner with Mill Lane - as far as No 22 Green Lane - to alleviate the bottleneck of dangerous parking on that corner making it impossible to safely view the road ahead.</p> <p>The existing faded double yellow lines on the grass verge side of Green Lane need repainting and</p>		<p>Comment of support is noted.</p> <p>At this stage of the legal process, we cannot increase the extent without re-advertising the proposals, at further expense, and would further delay the implementation of the restrictions for the rest of the Devises proposals and whilst this may seem a request for a small addition, it will require an amendment to the whole Order.</p>

	<p>if this new scheme is to succeed it must be actively enforced. Finally, at Kempfield we are concerned that when this new No Waiting area at our junction is implemented, cars and vans will then attempt to park in Kempfield -which is a very narrow road and requires all residents to park on their own drive for any traffic to pass (We have dropped kerbs with grass verges). Perhaps a "parking for residents only" sign could be erected at Kempfield junction to ameliorate this potential problem.</p>		<p>Wiltshire Council will continue to monitor parking in the area.</p>
--	---	--	--

### Marshall Road

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
MR1	<p><b><u>Displacement of Vehicles</u></b>  I am concerned the proposal will encourage parking along Byron Road which is designed as a residential road and would become somewhat restricted especially for emergency vehicles should parking along here be encouraged.</p> <p>Concerned that cars may park in Newman Road causing congestion and safety for the 1A Stagecoach bus which runs every 30 minutes along Newman Road. Pleased that the NHS employees' cars will not be able park in Marshall Road near the pinch point.</p>	2	<p>It is recognised that proposed waiting restrictions would result in vehicles parking elsewhere; this is the same with any new waiting restriction.</p> <p>Comment of support is noted.</p>

## Queens Road (East)

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
QRE1	<p><b><u>Support</u></b>                      The decision to prohibit parking on this stretch of Queens Road is long overdue. My house is right opposite the designated area and each day I see vehicles, which are entering the road from the main road, stop and reverse to enable vehicles travelling in the opposite direction to pass by. In the five years I have lived here, I have become aware of three accidents however the number of near misses leading to drivers having to reverse their vehicles towards the main road would be in their hundreds. This is so dangerous and I therefore applaud your decision.</p>	1	Comment of support is noted.

## Queens Road (West)

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
QRW1	<p><b><u>Yellow lines will make the problem worse</u></b>                      Despite all the years of complaining to the council, police, local traffic wardens, local press or anyone else who might listen it all has been ignored. The problem is people parking across our drives. Every year I have to ask people to move their cars so I can have access to my house. This is all down to people like you not taking into consideration the effect of your decisions. I would not be at all surprised if any of you have never been along our road yet alone live</p>	1	<p>The proposal was designed after receiving a request from Devizes Town Council to increase safety around the bend in the road.</p> <p>It is recognised that proposed waiting restrictions would result in vehicles parking elsewhere; this is the same with any new waiting restriction.</p>

	<p>there. The painting of the yellow lines is just going to make the problem much worse but why should you be concerned as it will not affect yourselves .In all praise to the Hillworth Park keepers they do put out traffic cones but as these have no legal standing they are just removed by the people parking and chucked on the pavement. In all honesty I think the only answer for me is to sell up and move to a district with a more helpful and considerate authority.</p>		
--	--	--	--

### Waiblingen Way – Belle Vue Road

Ref	Comment Received	Number of Times Received	Officer Comment
WW1	<p><b><u>No reason for proposal/ impossible to park</u></b>  The current parking situation does not impede traffic onto the main road or out of junctions. This proposal will shift the parking further up the road and into adjacent roads.</p> <p>Where will all the residents park once this scheme starts. There will never be enough space to cope with this</p> <p>My objection to the plans you want to introduce is that you will only punish the residents who simply won't be able to park outside or anywhere near their properties. The majority of them are not the people that are causing the problems. Until you stop non residents from parking in the street then I dont see how you can do this without providing more parking for residents.</p> <p>Restrictions to non-resident parking between 08:00 and 18:00 would have the effect of moving non-resident parking to the town long stay car park where it should</p>	5	<p>It is recognised that the proposed restrictions may lead to vehicles moving to nearby estate roads. This is the case with any new waiting restriction.</p> <p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway. It is the responsibility of the owner/keeper of the vehicle to find somewhere safe to park the vehicle and it is something for which the Council cannot take responsibility.</p> <p>This scheme was developed with the intention of protecting visibility at the junctions as per a request from</p>

<p>WW2</p>	<p>be. Car parking will then be generating income, and driving down car use / driving up car sharing without making it difficult for residents from keeping/using a vehicle as necessary.</p> <p>In particular, the section of road opposite the Belle Vue Road Spur junction provides very valuable spaces that will now be lost. Similarly, the lay-by situated across the road from Belle Vue Cottage does not impinge on the road in any way so, to the untutored eye, it seems unclear why the parking spaces opposite this lay-by will also go.</p> <p><b><u>Restrictions don't go far enough</u></b>  why havent you put the no parking zone passed the layby at 150-143 Waiblingen Way as the way you have done it is all the people further up Wailblingen way will be coming down to the layby outside where I live, its bad enough now trying to park when you come home from work in the afternoon and find people parking outside where you live, from 9am till 5.30. I will say that I dont think they would like it if my vehicle were to be parked outside their houses, so with you bringing in this parking scheme you are going to cause more chaos than ever and also a lot of hostility amongst the neighbours, why cant you bring in parking permits for all tenants at least we would all be able to park</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>Devizes Town Council. When considering a timed restriction we would have to review the wider area.</p> <p><b><u>In considering the comments raised concerning the proposals, it is recommended that these proposals be reduced, this can be found in Appendix 3.</u></b></p> <p>At this stage of the process, we cannot increase the proposals without re-advertising, at further expense, and would further delay the implementation of the restrictions for the rest of the Devizes proposals.</p> <p>The proposals for Belle Vue Road and Waiblingen Way were put together in conjunction with the Town Council to enable the safe movement of vehicles from Lansdowne Surgery and the Belle Vue Road spur. At the time there were no requests for further parking control within Waiblingen Way itself, this therefore was not part of the proposal.</p> <p><b><u>In considering the comments raised concerning the proposals, it is recommended that these proposals be reduced, this can be found in Appendix 3.</u></b></p>
------------	--	----------	---



## Other proposals

	<p><b><u>No comments were received to the following proposals:</u></b></p> <p>Brickley Lane – Eastleigh Road Brickley Lane – Kingsley Gardens Brickley Lane – opp. Roseland Ave Longcroft Avenue / Road Newman Road – Byron Road Sergeant Rogers Way – Hopton Road</p>		<p>These proposals will therefore be implemented as advertised.</p>
--	--	--	---